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## Preface

Cambodia's inland fisheries are an important source for food and income and have long played a key role in Cambodian life and culture. Records show that exploitation of the fisheries goes back to the ancient Khmer empire. Annual catches are conservatively estimated at over 400,000 tonnes per year, with the catch of other aquatic animals (OAAs) such as shrimps, crabs, snails, frogs, insects, snakes and turtles is at least 60,000 tonnes per year. Millions of people work full- or part-time in fisheriesrelated activities. Despite recent developments, fish and rice remain important for food security, and inland fisheries provide a key source of animal protein, calcium and vitamin A, as well as supplemental income as a key livelihood for rural households. Fish and fish products comprise 40-60\% of the animal protein dietary intake. It is highly valuable, with some estimates placing the value of the fisheries at over 300 million USD per year and Cambodian catches account for a large proportion of the fish and other aquatic animals produced from the Lower Mekong Basin, which are traded locally and also exported. Fisheries remains an important part of the social fabric of Khmer society and is an essential part of everyday life in rural areas

Key elements of the unique position of Cambodia, especially the Tonle Sap area and extensive floodplains, place it at the centre of the Mekong ecosystem and domestic fish production as well as long-distance fish migration of river fish across national boundaries. Due to several developments, fisheries is under threat, both from within the fisheries due to overfishing, but mainly from outside the fisheries through, economic developments, e.g. draining of wetlands, pollution, etc. and development of hydro-power and irrigation schemes that affect the natural hydrology and affect the flood pulse that stands at the basis of the high productivity of the inland fisheries.

Cambodia needs to balance the economic benefits of development with the traditional benefits for livelihood and food security of fishery activities. In order to make appropriate decisions better and more detailed information needs to be available on the fisheries production, the species composition and the income derived from fisheries and related support activities. The Manual for Scientific Catch Assessment by Recall survey of Inland Fisheries in Cambodia is an important document. Implementing the methodology included in this manual is a first step in improving the available information on inland fisheries. Improved information will benefit both designing appropriate management interventions and understanding the dynamics of the fisheries, to ensure sustainable exploitation and protection of valuable aquatic resources for generations to come.

H.E. Eng Cheasan<br>Delegate of the Royal Government of Cambodia<br>Director General of the Fisheries Administration
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## 1. Summary overview

- The household recall survey is only implemented for catch assessment (not stock assessment)
- Both species catch and fishing activities are covered by a Household Catch Interview survey
- Implement random sampling of 900 fishing households distributed by fishery area using the estimated number of fishing households
- Sampling in random selected villages by fishery area, with 15 fishing households in each village, without preferential selection of households with professional/full-time fishers
- Implementation of a Household Selection Interview survey (HSI), to assess proportion of fishing households and relative dependence on fisheries during random selection of fishing households at the start of the survey
- Reported catch needs to reflect catches by all household members, including women and children, the sample unit is the fishing household, NOT individual fishers
- QA/QC through field inspections and validation surveys, included in survey budget, validation surveys can be implemented by an independent team
- Estimation of total catch will be based on 2019 population census with estimates for fishing households by province (based on either the 2018 CDB database and the results of the household selection interview survey)
- Implementation schedule to be decided:
- Complete fishing household selection during 2020
- Start covering fishing households in 2020 for Tonle Sap fishery areas
- Expand to full coverage within first quarter of 2021
- Preparation of Pre-Analysis Plan (PAP) to inform detailed analysis and content of monthly reports auto-calculated by database
- a full list of all possible analysis for annual report is included in annex 4; and
- Monthly catch reports by province, for feedback and publication will focus on a more limited set of indicators that will be generated automatically by the database.


## 2. Introduction

Catch assessment for inland fisheries uses a recall methodology where respondents are interviewed about the fishing household catch and effort. This allows to collect a sufficiently high sample without the high cost associated with incentives for participants in a logbook survey. Data collected is primarily for species level catch assessment, but will also allow to calculate effort, disposal ${ }^{1}$, value of trade and involvement. The main target is to produce estimates that can be used to evaluate and supplement estimates currently produced by province level reporting-based catch assessment.

## 3. Survey design

Scientific catch assessment will use two main surveys to collect all necessary data for estimating fisheries indicators and allow for calculation of overall catch for inland fisheries and at fishery area and province levels.

### 3.1. Household Catch Interview (HCl)

The HCl will be used to routinely collect catch and effort data on a monthly basis. The HCl will cover a recall period of 3-5 days ${ }^{2}$, depending on the observed fishing frequency (including collection of OAA), with an HH representative. The survey will initially be implemented by central level FIA/IFReDI staff,

[^0]with support from cantonment staff. An interview-based survey methodology to monitor household catches is considered the best choice for long-term implementation and future integration with PDAFF statistical data collection. A draft survey form covering a 5-day recall period is included as annex 1, based on surveys implemented in Laos (Cottet and Visser, 2017 and Visser et al., 2016), this will be adjusted based on observed fishing frequency and data collected.

The following information is collected:

1. The species catch as weight (kg) and species composition, using local Khmer names, linked to species (scientific names) and species groups including Other Aquatic Animals (OAA) and aquatic plants by any fishing household (FH) member;
2. Effort, is recorded exclusively as fishing days/month, with involvement recorded by gender and age group; Fishing duration is not recorded; Monthly fishing effort is recorded for a 5-day recall period;
3. Boat use (motorised, non-motorised and fishing without boat);
4. Gear use, is recorded by main gear categories. Detailed gear use is not recorded, but gear details (size and numbers) for gears owned by the fishing household, are recorded at the start of the survey during the household selection survey. This includes gear type (sub category), number of units and appropriate additional information depending on the gear type, e.g. length, height and mesh sizes for gillnets and number of hooks for longlines. Gear categories are based on existing standardised lists used by IFReDI in other similar surveys (Annex 3);
5. Fishing location is indicated by (main) habitat type, e.g. river, lake, floodplain, swamp, rice field, with where appropriate a named location, referring to a specific aquatic habitat, e.g. name of a river/stream;
6. Involvement, i.e. the number of persons contributing to the catch (separated by gender for adults only);
7. Disposal of the fish catch (kg) for fresh consumption, sale or processing (and value if sold); and,
8. Active fishing households, the proportion of households that indicate they went fishing at least once for the previous month. It uses a simple yes/no question: "has any member of a fishing household fished or collected Other Aquatic Organisms (OAO), including Aquatic plants and Other Aquatic Animals (OAA) during the past month?". This data is used to assess the true number of active fishing households, compared to the number based on the HCl data, as well as for extrapolation of the total catch. The recall period only covers a 5-day period, which makes it possible that some households will indicate they haven't fished during the recall period, while they did fish outside the recall period covered

The HCl will also be used to collect data for estimating the proportion of households actively fishing during a month, for extrapolating the total catch from the average monthly household catch. Ideally this would be collected using an independent sample survey but collecting it during the HCl survey significantly reduces survey effort (and cost). Basing it on HHs involved in the HCl survey may lead to biased data ${ }^{3}$, the potential bias will be monitored during survey implementation..

### 3.2. Household Selection Interview Survey (HSI)

The HSI survey will be used to assess fishery dependency of all households approached to be included in the HCl survey, mainly based on the number of fishing days/month and the importance compared to other household livelihood activities. The survey is implemented before the start of HCl survey when selecting the random sample and every time replacement households need to be selected, when participating households leave the survey. It is not an annual survey. The results will allow to calculate:

- The proportion fishing households;
- Assess sampling bias in fishing households that don't agree to be included in the HCl ; and,

[^1]- The proportion of full-time, part-time and seasonal fishing households based on activity pattern (number of fishing days per month) over a year.

The survey format is included in annex 2. The following information is collected:

1. Fishing dependency as measured by number of fishing days, involvement of family members, main purpose of fishing and contribution to household income or time spent (compared to other livelihood activities)
2. Fish processing types of fishing products made
3. Fishing locations (by main aquatic habitat) fished and catch contribution
4. Boat and engine access (ownership), including numbers
5. Fishing gear ownership, with relevant sizes and numbers of units

## 4. Classifications for species, gears and habitats

Standardised lists are used for species (and genus or family level species groups), with the aim of reducing catch assigned to 'other species' to be less than $30 \%$ of the total catch ${ }^{4}$. The lists form the basis for a photo flipchart, which will be used to record the local names ${ }^{5}$ for each species and species group on the list, at least by fishery area or smaller area where approriate, to accommodate differences in species names. The local species names will be used to assign reported catches with the scientific species or family name, species code and with the common Khmer/English names. The lists uses Fishes of the Cambodian Freshwater Bodies as source for the common Khmer fish name linked with the codes as assigned in the photo flipcharts that MRC has updated (Ngor et al., 2016). Species codes used will include species codes included in FIA, 2019, but with updated names where appropriate based on So et al., 2019 ${ }^{6}$.

A first selection of 70 species as included in annex 5 , was based on the reported species catch in the MRC gillnet survey data for 2017-2019. This was expanded to include 30 additional species of importance in mountainous and coastal habitats, for a total of 100 fish species. Additional codes may need to be added based on the local names provided by fishing households, but this will be decided based on the data collected. A code for mixed small species (combined with mixed juveniles) was included as well as a group for other fish not elsewhere included (nei), to allow for assigning species catch when the species isn't clear. The target for the species catch is to assign at least 70\% of the catch to individual species or genus level groups.

The list was expanded to include 39 species and species groups for Other Aquatic Animals (OAA) and Aquatic Plants (AP). This includes aquatic insects, bivalves, crabs, shrimps and prawns, snails, frogs and toads, and aquatic reptiles, as included in annex 6.

Complete separation by species will not be possible, local species names as reported by fishing households will be recorded during the HCl . Non-standard names will be identified during data collection, by IFReDI and FIAC staff for proper recording of species catch. Location specific species name lists will be collated at the start of the HCl survey using a photo flipchart based on the species list included in annex 5 . This will link any locally used names with the correct scientific name and codes, or species groups. Variations in local species names will be monitored throughout implementation of the survey, by flagging 'new' species names reported by fishing households.

[^2]Any new species name reported by the respondents will be linked to a species of species group, using the photo flipchart. If a species is not included in the flipchart, but important in the catches, it will be added to the species list and photo flipchart.

Besides a photo or photos for each species included, the photo flipchart will include common Khmer/English and scientific names (including family name), indication of size for each species (e.g. max length and weight) or a standard-length bar scaled to the size of the species to allow to gauge the size, relative abundance (how rare a species is) and seasonal occurrence. The list is sorted by family and genus names, ensuring that similar looking species can be compared easily.

Even if OAA and AP are assigned to generic nei (not elsewhere included) categories, accurately recording the reported local Khmer names will make it possible to assign OAA and aquatic plants at least to family level groups retro-actively, e.g. for snails, shrimp, crabs and frogs.

Classification of main gear types will be done using the categories indicated in annex 3 , this is based on the observed gear use in the MRC funded surveys. These will be linked to gear categories currently used for DPFIC reporting, to ensure that data can be reported in the format used by DPFIC.

The catch location (habitat) is used to allow calculating the importance of fishing in various aquatic habitats. Since fishing often is done on the boundaries of the water land interface or in transition areas, it makes sense to define the aquatic habitats by main environments: lentic (standing water) and lotic (running water), with a sub-division depending on the importance of certain habitats for fisheries management: lotic e.g. perennial rivers, seasonal rivers, irrigation canals and lentic e.g. permanent and seasonal swamps, floodplain (flooded forest, rice fields, lakes/ponds), reservoirs. The habitats and codes are included in annex 9 . The actual catch location (e.g. confluence, rapids, near-shore or midstream, etc...), will not be recorded.

## 5. Sampling design

The sampling unit for HCl survey is the fishing household. Catch assessment will use random sampling of fishing households. Interviews will target a household representative, if possible, the primary fisher or household head, to provide data, but specifically ask for data on fishing activities by other household members. When present during the HCl , other household members will directly be asked for their fishing activities.

### 5.1. Sample size

Optimal sampling size for HCl survey depend on variation in the main variables measured by the survey, the required accuracy and the available budget and staff. Using fishing households as fishing units without stratification by dependency (fishing households with commercial, part-time and seasonal fishers), there will be relatively large variation in daily and monthly reported catches as fishing households fishing commercially will be mixed with those fishing primarily for subsistence.

If the average daily reported catch is 3 kg , with a standard deviation of $3 \mathrm{~kg}^{7}$, then according to a standard formula ${ }^{8}$., this would need a sample size of up to $441^{9}$ at $90 \%$ accuracy ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ is set at a relatively high level of 2.1 for this example), regardless of the target population, i.e. virtually the same sample size would be needed when sampling Cambodia as a whole, for each fishery area or for each province.

Sample size $=\left[\frac{[2.1 * 3]}{0.1 * 3}\right]^{2}=441$ fishing households

[^3]Higher variation in the reported catches will also increase the required sample size, while a lower variation would decrease the required sample size. There is no large difference in the number of samples needed between an area with 10,000 fishing households or one with 500 fishing households. The sample size is mainly determined by the variance between measured variables, which is why appropriate stratification is important.

Table 1. Proposed sample of fishing households and villages by fishery area for HCl survey.

| Sample |  | Fishery area | Provinces | Villages /communes | Fishing households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HHs | Villages |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 | 20 | Tonle Sap | Battambang, Pursat, Siem Reap, Kampong Thom, Kampong Chhnang, and Banteay Meanchey | 4,229/467 | 59\% | 586,699 |
|  |  |  | Takeo, Svay Rieng, Prey |  | 56\% | 586,511 |
| 300 | 20 | Floodplain | Cham, and excl. Phnom Penh | 5,839/637 | PP exclu only 2 | $(399,203)$ are as urban area with fishing HH (7 984) |
| 75 | 5 | Plateau | Stung Treng, Kratie, and Ratanakiri | 629/131 | 66\% | 110,999 |
| 165 | 11 | Mountainous | Mondulkiri, Tboung Khmum, Kampong Speu, Otdar Meanchey, Preah Vihear, and Pailin | 2,954/255 | 66\% | 334,105 |
| 60 | 4 | Coastal | Kep, Kampot, Preah Sihanouk, and Koh Kong | 736/156 | 51\% | 115,474 |
| 900 | 60 |  | Total (excl. PP) | 14,387 | 60\% | 1,733,600 |

Proportion of fishing households from 2017 Socio-Economic survey, total number of fishing households based on pre-liminary results from the 2019 population census.

Since no existing data on household fishing is available, the initial sample size is based on the effective sample size used in national socio-economic surveys, which are designed to allow estimates by fishery areas. The HCl survey will target sampling of 900 fishing households. This is expected to be sufficient for the estimation of the total species catch for Cambodia with at least a $95 \%$ accuracy, with a maximum relative error of $10 \%$. The accuracy will be reported monthly, based on the reported average household catch and variation. Adjustment to the survey design will be minimised during the first year, sample size will be evaluated within the first 3 months ${ }^{10}$ and changes to the survey design (e.g. stratification by level of dependency, as described in Nasielski, 2012, based on CDB data) and survey methodology will be considered based on a full year of data.

The selected sample size has consequences for the accuracy of the estimate based on the HCl survey by fishery areas and by province. The sampling error and (statistical) accuracy will be assessed during the implementation based on the observed average daily catches and the variation, with the survey design adjusted if necessary. Since almost all existing fish catch data available is based on surveys that preferentially sampled professional/full-time fishers, the first year of the HCl survey will be used to develop a better understanding for sampling design and stratification, between areas with low fishery

[^4]dependence and high fishery dependence ${ }^{11}$. The survey design and sample size will be evaluated after a full year of data is available, but a preliminary evaluation will be scheduled to be available in June 2021, to facilitate proposing changes to the survey design and implementation with consequences for the budget allocation.

### 5.2. Sampling distribution

Provinces are grouped into fishery areas ${ }^{12}$. The sampling effort will be distributed based on the proportion of estimated number of fishing households for each fishery area (Table 1).

Communes are selected at random for each of the fishery areas (annex 7). The communes are selected from all communes present in each of the fishery areas, with an exception made for the coastal fishery area. Since marine fishing is important for the four coastal provinces, communes (and villages), that are bordering the sea are expected to be mainly dependent on marine fishery resources. They are excluded for catch assessment for inland fisheries, this excludes all communes for Kep province. Marine communes are identified based on the location where vessel owners live (home ports), as recorded in the 2018 vessel census. All villages with 15 or more vessel owners for small- and middle scale vessels, are assumed to be mainly focussed on marine fisheries. This includes 45 communes with a total of 82 villages. The 4 communes selected for the HCl then are randomly selected from 112 remaining communes that depend mainly on inland fisheries.

Before the start of selection of fishing households for the catch assessment survey, the following actions need to be taken:

- The random selected communes are evaluated by FIA/IFReDI, based on representativeness for the fishery area ${ }^{13}$, to exclude urban areas or a bias towards hinterland or riparian communes
- After reselection of communes where deemed necessary, 1 village is randomly selected from each commune
- Based on the location and travel routes the villages will be distributed equally over all 5 survey teams
- Up-to-date household lists for each of the selected villages will be requested from FIAC to randomise and pre-select a random household list for assessment for inclusion in the HCl survey.

In view of the possibility of large numbers of non-respondent households, non-fishing households, households that cannot be located, or those that do not want to be involved in the survey, the random list will include the first 100 randomly selected households;

See for a more detailed method of fishing household selection on page 10.
The only way to cover 900 fishing HHs with 5 survey teams within 15 working days each month, is by

- equally distributing the sampling effort over all 5 FIA/IFReDI teams ( 180 fishing households/survey team)
- sampling 15 fishing households in each village, resulting in each survey team covering 12 villages/month.

[^5]Distribution of total sampling effort is based on the geographic location of random selected villages, while minimising the travel time to reach each of 12 villages. Initially interviews are conducted as a team, for training and practice purposes, if time permits. After all FIA/IFReDI staff are familiar and comfortable with conducting the interviews this will move towards team members conducting interviews individually. This will save time and allow to increase the sample size or reduce the number of days spent on the survey or the number staff involved.

Staff will travel together (taxi/rental car) or on motorbike, to reduce cost. The locations assigned to each survey team is based on proximity (distribution) and travel time (ease of access). Each survey team will likely cover villages from multiple fishery areas.

Opinions are divided whether catches in general are under the influence of the lunar cycle, as observed for the catches of the Dai fishery (particularly of Henicorhynchus sp.) that vary strongly with lunar periodicity. Deap (1999) observed a peak catch period of 4-6 days before full moon and a low period during the rest of the month. This seems correlated to triggers for migration movements, which are variable between fish species, seasons and fishing method. For example, Baird and Flaherty (2001), working on a gillnet fishery targeting medium-sized cyprinids, conclude that no significant correlation can be found between peak catches and lunar phases. It is unclear if lunar periodicity will be a major factor, but its presence will be monitored, and estimates can be made for two periods each month if appropriate. To ensure this is taken into account, the sampling design will use a cyclical sampling scheme, ensuring that a fishing household isn't always interviewed for the same recall period every month. There are several approaches to do that, but the simplest is to always follow the same optimised survey sequence (minimise travel time) but start with different sample locations each month.

For example, if the 12 villages to be covered by each survey team are organised following the best survey sequence, then they are sampled in the following order for subsequent months. After 7 months the sequence repeats.

Table 2. Proposed sequential village sampling schedule for 2020-21 for each survey team.

| Order | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{2}^{\text {td }}$ | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}$ | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}$ | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}$ | 7 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ | 8 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ | 9 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ | 10 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ | 11 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}$ | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 2 |

Even if including travel between locations, return to Phnom Penh for weekends and limitations in budget that mean that each survey team can only cover one village/day, this should allow for all fishing households to be covered within 15 working days with some time to spare. Following a predictive sequence, facilitates fixing monthly survey schedules to accommodate holidays and important events, which are used by a separate field inspection team to verify survey team activities. In addition, following a Monday to Friday survey schedule (no over-time) ensures that fishing households are not interviewed for the same days each month, while still including fishing activities during weekends.

Analysis of the data will show if there is a significant difference in fish catches and fishing effort between different periods over the month from the available data. If low and peak fishing periods are observed for household level fishing, catch and effort data can be collected for two separate fishing periods: the low and peak fishing. This would need to increase the survey effort, as it is expected that the current sample size is insufficient for accurate independent estimates for low and peak catch periods. Because initial potential low and peak fishing periods will be covered by part of the sample, data is available for analysis to evaluate any differences. As it is unsure how the presence of low and peak catch periods affects the resulting data and variation, the impact of re-distribution of data over low and peak catch periods for estimating indicators will be assessed after at least 3 months of data is available.

### 5.3. Household selection

Sampling of fishing households is random. The proportion of fishing households with professional/fulltime fishers, as well as part-time and seasonal fishers in the total fishing population will be established during the random household selection (using the Household Selection Interview survey), this allows to adjust the sampling frame used for extrapolation if more detailed information becomes available ${ }^{14}$. The overall proportion of fishing households, compared to non-fishing households, also will be established during random selection of participating fishing households, to allow for validating the existing data and to be used for extrapolating the total catch.

To facilitate selection of participating fishing households, it is recommended to randomly pre-select communes and villages in each fishery area, without preference for equal representation by province. Randomly select 1 village in each selected commune, from which up to 15 fishing households will be randomly selected ${ }^{15}$.

Random fishing household selection is essential for collecting unbiased data. This must be clear to the FIA/IFReDI survey teams and carefully explained to village authorities. During household selection it is important that no short-cuts are taken that would exclude certain parts of communities from being considered for random selection of fishing households. Since the sample will be based on lists and population records kept by village authorities, there is the possibility that village authorities may try to steer the field team away from approaching certain households or parts of the village, because "they are not fishing", e.g. areas with a high proportion of government employees, police or military, or ethnic groups (immigrants) that "everyone knows don't fish". The HCI is not only targeting fishing households with a high dependency on fishing to obtain "good coverage for a wide a selection of gears and habitats", the goal is simply to get an accurate estimate for the average monthly fishing household catch and the species proportion. Therefore, selection of fishing households, needs to be based on random sampling.

All random selected households need to be interviewed even those that are considered to be nonfishing by the village authorities. Low fishery dependent households are probably widespread, especially in certain parts of mountainous and coastal fishery areas ${ }^{16}$. However, these households need to be included in the HCl survey, or at least not actively excluded, to get a proper idea about the level of fishing. As soon as assumptions are made in relation to what constitutes a fishing household and try to find target households with a higher dependence only (because "they provide better data"),

[^6]sampling bias is introduced that lessen the utility of the data obtained for estimating the total catch and monitoring trends in fisheries.

Any decision to include/exclude a household in the survey is done based on the data collected during the household selection interview:

- The household has members involved in fishing activities, at least part of the year;
- The household is willing to be involved in the HCl survey; and,
- The household is not involved in seasonal migration for tending to crops or wage labour, moving away from the current home.

It is important to make sure a household really isn't fishing, as some households may initially say they don't fish, while they may collect OAA, or perhaps fishing is done by someone other than the household representative interviewed. Insisting on random sampling is to make sure that the sample covers all levels of fishing dependency, making sure it doesn't exclude households that are only sporadically fishing, as it is an important part of the population. Leaving out households with a low activity pattern would introduce a bias and can lead to an over-estimation of the catches.

The household selection interview also will provide data to estimate the number of non-fishing households for each fishery area separately, to be able to raise catches to the whole population in the villages covered. This is based on the number of households interviewed until 15 fishing households are selected for the HCl survey for each sample village. This means that in some villages selected for the HCl survey, where almost all households are fishing households, only the first 15 random selected households may be interviewed for the HSI survey. The proportion of non-fishing households obtained from the results of the HSI survey, will always be compared with the data from the 2019 population census and de CDB data that both contain data on the number of households indicating fishery as the primary or secondary occupation. The HSI data also will attempt to assess sample selection bias (by comparing the fishing dependency between fishing households that agree to be included in the HCl survey and those that don't want to be involved in the HCl survey.

The survey will look at covering a total of 60 communes, represented by 1 village each. Fishing household selection and the household selection interview survey will be done by FIA/IFReDI teams, supported by FIAC staff, but the list of communes/villages selected for each fishery area are included in annex 7 in table form and in annex 8 as a map, for planning purposes.

Random selection of villages can follow the practice similar to what is implemented by the National Institute for Statistics (NIS) for the various surveys ${ }^{17}$, if population data is available:

1) Prepare a list of all provinces, communes and villages to be sampled
2) 'randomly' number provinces (don't use the administrative code)
3) Group the provinces into the fishery areas and sort by the randomly assigned number
4) Within each province sort villages by number of households and assign rank number
5) Within each fishery area sort provinces by random assigned number, communes by their administrative code and the villages by rank number
6) From the resulting list, randomly select the required number of villages in each of the fishery areas:
a. Based on the total number of villages in each fishery area, create a random order and select the villages by rank number
b. Divide the total number of villages in each fishery area by the required number of sample villages ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {skip }}$ ) and randomly select a starting village and selected at the rate of 1 in $\mathrm{N}_{\text {skip }}$

In the absence of recent population numbers by village, selection of communes instead was done using a random sequence generated for the total number of communes included in each fishery area. One

[^7]village within each pre-selected commune then is selected randomly based on random sequences for each commune.

Unlike the surveys implemented by NIS, the HCl survey has an insufficient sample size to stratify by rural and urban areas, however following the above method will allow sampling urban and rural communes proportionally. This still may introduce a sampling bias and this will be assessed by implementing a validation survey to estimate the effect on the estimated household catch.

### 5.4. Fishing Household Household Selection Interview Survey

During selection of the fishing household sample the HHSI survey will be implemented to assess the status of each households randomly selected to estimate the proportion of fishing households and the dependency of fishing households on fishing as a livelihood (see form included in annex 2). Sample selection need to be implemented by FIA/IFReDI survey teams, supported by FIAC staff. It is essential to interview all random sampled households (to make sure non-fishing households are recorded) and that the reasons and if possible, the fishery dependency status of fishing households that do not agree to be included in the HCl survey to be recorded to assess sampling bias.

It is expected that some households will leave the survey within the first year ${ }^{18}$. Whenever replacement households need to be selected, for fishing households that no longer want to be included in the survey, who are (temporary or permanently) moving out of the village or in case of divorce, illness or death, these need to be selected using the same approach, including implementing the HSI survey. In case households move around seasonally, e.g. for tending crops or seasonal labour, they should not be considered for the HCl (questions to assess this are included in the HSI survey).

The entire sample frame also needs to be changed every few years (2-3 years), which involves random selection of new communes, villages and fishing households. This also allows updating of the information on the number of fishing households, that is used for extrapolation.

Random selection of households in the selected villages is done based on village records of household lists:

1) Meet with village authorities to introduce the survey, explain objectives and what is needed to conduct the survey'
2) Compile or copy household lists;
3) The completeness of the village lists (total number of households), need to match the totals observed during the population census;
4) Based on the total number of households prepare a random order to select households from the list; and
5) Prepare a target sample household list with 100 households from the complete village household list ${ }^{19}$, this will include sufficient replacement households for non-fishing households or those that don't want to participate in the HCl survey.

It is necessary to do the above tasks a few weeks before the fishing household selection. It is recommended that FIAC is requested to visit each sample village before the actual random household selection to inform the village authorities about the upcoming survey and collect the village household lists. The household lists for the random selected villages need to be available at least a week before selection of fishing households for the $\mathbf{H C l}$ survey. This will allow for IFReDI to prepare randomised household lists for each location. Planning also needs to consider convenient dates when there are no conflicting activities, festivals and village authorities are available to assist with locating and

[^8]introducing random selected households (this normally requires some payment to compensate for their time).

1) Visit the randomly selected households in the order of the randomised household list, with assistance from local village authorities to locate each household and introduce the survey team
a. Introduce yourself as working for IFReDI, wear a IFReDI cap, jacket or bag or have a name tag with the IFReDI logo clearly visible;
b. Explain objective of the survey (fish catch assessment) and the reason for doing the household selection interview; and
c. Verify the information in the Household Identification section, to make sure this is the household that was randomly selected;
2) If a household cannot be located, make a note with the reason (moved, involved in migratory seasonal labour, nobody home). If nobody is home, check with neighbours if the household is expected back within the time the FIA/IFReDI team are in the village, if Yes, schedule a revisit, if No, make a note and move to the next household on the list;
3) Never depend on what village authorities tell the FIA/IFReDI (including variations on: "that household doesn't fish"), record the status and result of the interview for all households on the list that have been visited;
4) Make sure to interview household members together and to involve both male and females in the interview:
a. Avoid relying on the answers from a single respondent, especially if the household includes more than one fisher;
b. Involve silent members of the household as much as possible, by asking follow-up questions;
c. Keep in mind traditional roles, but always involve both male and female members, for questions on livelihood activities and processing ;
d. Try to get consensus in the recall for number of fishing days, average catch or importance of livelihood activities by starting a discussion between household members if there is disagreement on the answer for a specific question; and,
e. Keep in mind that it is mainly the relative importance that is important, not absolute values for fishing days and catch amounts.
5) Start the HSI survey by asking the first question of the Fisheries Activities by Household section to establish if the household is a fishing household
a. If the household isn't a fishing household, indicate on the survey form, this information is used for calculating the proportion of fishing and non-fishing households. Move to the next randomly selected household
b. If the household is a fishing household, explain what is expected from the participating households to the HCl survey (frequency of interviews, duration of survey and that there will be no incentive for providing the data)
c. If the fishing household wants to be included, proceed with the remainder of the HSI survey, before moving to the next randomly selected household;
d. If the fishing household doesn't want to be included, ask what the reason is and ask permission to finish the selection interview survey: this allows us to assess sampling bias, and move to the next randomly selected household
6) Continue until 15 fishing households have been selected that agree to be included for the HCl survey for each sample village.

Household Identification, asks for the location and specifics of the household, which should not provide any difficulty and can be filled in before the survey based on the available information in the community database or the village household lists. The available information then simply needs to be verified, when visiting the household. There are two questions that are of special importance for implementation of the HCl , are at the end of the survey form in section 5 .

Fishery Activities by Household, this is the main section to assess if a household is a fishing household. The first question is very important and needs to be carefully formulated to include full-time, seasonal and part-time fishing for income and/or subsistence. Even if the household indicates to be fishing only a few times in the peak fishing season, it should be included in the sample as a fishing household. The emphasis here is on catching fish. If the HH only collects OAA and/or Aquatic Plants (AP), this is not a fishing household. Be alert for the presence of fishing gears, freshly caught fish, a boat or boat engine underneath the house and any indications that a household may be fishing (e.g. the presence of a icebox).

If the household is a fishing household, ask if they want to be included in a catch assessment survey (with an explanation of what that means, see item 4b, above) and if Yes, proceed with the HSI survey and skip to 2. If No, ask for the reason and try to get the HH to agree to proceed with the rest of the HSI survey.

The number of HH members involved in fishing refers to both children and adults, record the number for female and males separately. Indicate the months when the HH is fishing and ask for an estimate for the number of fishing days for each month for 2019. This is mainly to establish the relative number of days and to indicate if the household is fishing full-time, part-time or only seasonal, so the exact numbers are not that important. Calculate the average number of fishing days per month and ask for the typical (average) daily catch during the two main seasons. This normally is very difficult, so ask what they caught the last time they went fishing and ask if that is the normal amount for the current (dry) season. Then ask if they normally catch how much more/less during the rainy season to get an estimate.

Assess the importance of fishing for income or consumption, if the HH indicates it is more or less the same, select "Same importance". If the household processes fish, ask the kind of products that are made (just the main categories).

Assessing the boat and engine ownership, should not be a problem, keep any boats and engines observed around the house in the assessment.

Next the household is asked to indicate the habitats that are fished or where OAA is collected. Go through the entire list, before asking for the importance for catch. It may be easiest to rank the selected habitats by importance for catch, because it is likely that most households will find it difficult to estimate the \% catch. This may be done on the basis of the estimates for the number of days/month the habitat is visited. The last column refers to the number of HH members that fish or collect, fish and OAA in each of the habitats, just a count, not separated by gender.

The category, marine habitats only has to be included for the coastal provinces.

Fishing Gears ownership (or use), is mainly intended to assess the level of dependency. If detailed gear sizes for gillnets cannot easily be obtained, just tick the various gears operated by the household and indicate the number of units. This data is not intended to be used to calculate the CPUE by gear, collecting this in too much detail is not necessary. Similarly, for hook and line gears, it is more important to know if the household operates the different types of hooks and lines, than the number of units, or the hook size, as this can easily change. This also is the case for small traps and even large traps, that can be produced by the HH and thus the count is less important than the fact the HH owns/uses a specific trap.

If certain gears for Hook and Line and Traps are not included in the lists, please add the name of the gear, the HH owns/uses.

Household Dependency on Fishing, asks about trends in the fisheries. This section should be straightforward. Question 3 doesn't ask for estimating the proportion of fish protein as part of the
overall protein intake, just to indicate if the relative importance of fish and OAA in the diet is high, low or somewhere in between (medium), compared to intake of other sources of animal or plant protein sources. This should be explained in terms the households will understand, e.g. by listing the various sources of protein: meat (including from cows, pigs and hunting), fowl, eggs, reptiles and insects. It is meant to assess the importance of fishing (including OAA) for HH consumption. If the HH indicated that it doesn't sell fish or OAA then skip Q.4. If the HH can estimate the importance of fishing for HH income, this already is an indicator that it is common for the HH to sell fish. However, at least ask the household to indicate if the contribution of commercial fishing to HH income is high, low or in between, compared to other livelihood activities.

In Q. 5 the HH is asked to assess if compared to other HH in the village it is catching more, less or about the same. This will provide another indicator for the relative dependence on fishing.

In Q.6, the HH is asked to list the main livelihood activities, ranked by importance for HH , this can be done based on time spent, with the addition, if possible, , with an estimate for the contribution to the income. It is not necessary that the total is exactly $100 \%$, indicative guestimates are acceptable. The main interest is to see the number and type of various economic activities which can provide an indication of risk distribution and level of resilience towards negative impacts on fisheries income. After listing the main livelihood activities, this can be done interactively by providing all (adult) household members with 10 tokens, which they need to place on the different activities they are involved in. Each member can place more than one token for each livelihood activity.

Finally, in section 5, Eligibility for $\mathbf{H C l}$ survey, two follow-up questions are asked to assess if the HH should be included in the HCl survey:
Q. 1 asks how long the household has been living in the village, to see if it is a recent migrant, i.e. if there is a chance it may move away from the village during the survey.
Q. 2 asks if the household temporarily moves away from the village for work, e.g. tending to crops, livestock or seasonal labour in factories.

Both answers combined indicate if the household should be considered for the HCl . If the HH moves away at any time of the year it should be excluded from the HCl survey. If in doubt and it is a fishing household, tentatively include the HH in HCl survey, but make sure to select a replacement household, in case review at FiA indicates it should be excluded. Replacing households during a long-term survey is unavoidable, but should be minimised as much as possible.

## 6. HCl Field protocol

The following is a tentative description of the interview method and sequence of the survey. This is likely to change based on experience in the field during the field training and subsequent survey implementation.

The interview is expected to take less than 20 minutes, but this depends on the skill level of the data collector and the amount of fishing a household has done during the past 5 days. Long interviews need to be avoided as this interferes with household activities and willingness to continue with the survey.

It is necessary to carefully record the local Khmer species name as reported by the fishing household. When in doubt, the photo flipchart can be shown, if this doesn't disrupt the flow of the interview. The species code can only be assigned based on the local Khmer name and provides the link to the scientific name and the standard common Khmer name in the database. The locally used common names is essential for correctly assigning species catch to the right species. It is highly recommended to always enter the reported local Khmer name as well as the assigned species code into the database, as this allows verification that the code that was assigned is correct, as part of the QA/QC procedures. If only the species code or the common Khmer name is recorded, there is no way to check if the right species code was assigned.

For each location a species list survey needs to be done to establish the local names for all species covered by the reported data using the photo flipchart and additional fish guides if necessary. There are two ways of doing this:

- a group interview after fishing household selection is concluded, but before the start of the HCl survey (this doesn't need to include all selected households, mainly the more experienced fishers); or
- build this list during data collection, whenever a new local species name is encountered.

The second option is more efficient. However, this works best if data is always collected using tablets, as this will automatically indicate that a name has not yet been linked to a scientific name and provides an autofill option when starting to enter a species name from the entries already in the database. If using paper forms, it depends on the skill of the data collectors to recognise species names that are not yet linked to a scientific name, as well as the ability to consistently use the same spelling for the same name. Until tablets are used, this needs additional checks during data entry to identify spelling issues and flag potential mistakes when assigning species codes.

Since the same name can link to different species in separate locations (even within the same village), or different names can link to the same species. This can be highly complex, especially when the respondents are not frequent fishers and therefore are unsure about fish names for uncommon species. Even professional fishers in different locations will use different fish names. Another advantage of using tablets is that there is less chance new and creative ways of spelling non-standard local species names are introduced.

It is important not to assume that a certain local name always links to the same scientific species name, as ethnic or linguistic background, as well as fishing experience will affect local names used. When in doubt verify with the photo-flipchart, but generally most of the reported catch will be correctly identified using the reported local names, with only rare species causing issues.

Interviews for the HCl survey are conducted with an adult household representative, preferably in the presence of both the household head or spouse, to cover fishing activities by all household members. Fishing households are interviewed at their home. In case no household members are at home, neighbours are asked where they can be found or when they are expected to come home. Based on the available time for covering all 15 households in the sample village, a decision must be made to find households representatives working in the field (or fishing) or return later during the day.

If possible, conduct interviews in teams of 2 persons, but only if time permits, where one team member asks the questions, while the other records the answers. This is especially important during the first month, when collecting data using paper forms. The survey form is not a questionnaire and is mainly designed for recording the information, for each item a question has to be asked but the formulation is not fixed. The task for the second team member who is recording the data is to make sure that the information is complete and that data for all days and all items is collected.

The information for identification of the FH can be entered before entering the village, with only the name of the respondent and the relationship to the household head recorded at the start of the interview.

The HH members fishing refers to all adult household members contributing to the total household catch (only separated by gender not by age group). The species catch is for all people that are reported to be fishing (include both species detail for fish and OAA):

1) The respondent should be a person involved in fishing, preferably the household member that is fishing most;
2) The respondent should provide data for the household catch (for all household members);
3) If more household members are around, make sure to ask them for additional catches that can be assigned to species or species groups

The HCl survey will cover 5 days. The main reason is to increase the probability that catch data is collected from fishing households that fish infrequently, e.g. once a week or less. This relatively short period will ensure that the catch data is still fresh in respondents' memory and allows collecting data on both (species) catch, gear and location. Always start with the most recent day (day 5) first and work your way back to day 1. Assuming that the interview takes place after fishermen have returned home from fishing, day 5 will be the interview day. Please indicate the dates for each day on the form. It isn't necessary to cover the same 5-day period for all households in the fishery area. The 5-day period is representative for a random period of fishing and besides holidays, festivals or events in the household/village (wedding/funeral/weekly market etc...) there should not be any consequence of a differences in the coverage between households, unless there is a consistent low and peak fishing period with different fishing effort, involvement and yield (CPUE).

Indicate the days for which the FH reports fishing activities, make clear this includes any fishing, gathering of OAA or collecting aquatic plants by any member of the household. Tick the boxes for the fishing days. Make sure to enter the dates using date/month, e.g. 05/12.

The habitats fished are indicated by entering codes, more than one code can be entered for each day, refer to annex 9, for the codes. Codes for running water (lotic) start with a zero and codes for standing water (lentic) start with a 1.

The number of adult FH members contributing to the catch for each fishing day are indicated for female $(q)$ and male ( $\delta^{\lambda}$ ) fishing household members. This is both to focus the respondent on the importance of including fishing by other fishing household members and to get an idea about the role of gender in fisheries.

The form has space for 25 species only, this should be enough for most interviews (and fishing periods), but if necessary, the table on the back of the paper forms can be used. Ask for the Khmer species name and record this using standard spelling. The columns with the Code refers to the species code from the photo flipchart and will be assigned after the interview is finished but before leaving the village in case the local name is not in our list and the household needs to be asked for clarification using the photo flipchart. If the species code is immediately known, this can be entered, otherwise wait until after the interview, as not to interrupt the flow of the data collection. It is recommended to use the FIA codes, as these separate inland fish, from marine fish and OAA. Enter the weight for this species in kg, based on the best estimate by the respondent (see later), for the fishing day (1 through 5). Each species is only added to the list once, if the same species is caught on multiple days, the weight is entered for each day on the same row, for each day it is caught.

The species catch section should include all aquatic living organisms caught or collected by the FH, as included in annex 5 . This isn't only fish species, but also OAA and AP. The data entered in this section ideally should include all weight that was caught and collected by any household member.

Ask respondents to estimate the weight in kg for each species they caught for each day. In case they have trouble remembering for day 1-2, assist with asking if it was more or less than for the most recent day they fished. If households have been fishing almost daily, catches for day 1-2 will be less reliable, and these may be removed from the analysis.

Fish shapes and sizes are prepared out of cardboard, corresponding with fish of 50, 100, 250,500, 1000 gram and then subsequently for weights of $2,3,5 \mathrm{~kg}$. This will assist respondents to more accurately estimate fish weights. Unless fishers have sold their catch, or are very experienced, they will often not be able to remember the weight, but often can remember the approximate size of fish that were caught. The weight for any OAA will be estimated without the aid of shapes.

For all species catch reported, ask if any or all was sold, if so, ask for the price and record that in the Price column. This should include all species that were sold by the household. If there is a price difference between days a species was caught ${ }^{20}$, take the average.

Calculate the total daily fish catch after the interview, based on the species codes. All non-fish codes are preceded by NF (non-fish), to facilitate this calculation. The total fish catch reported should be the same as the total weight reported for fish disposal ${ }^{21}$. Ask for clarification if the weights are not the same.

In many cases, especially when interviewing a FH involved in commercial fishing, the data provided will focus on the catch data by the main fisher and any FH member that assisted. Often this is only fish catch. The respondent may not know if additional fish/OAA was caught by other FH members for food consumption or doesn't consider OAA important. Therefore, if no OAA or AP have been reported, this is specifically asked after the main species catch has been recorded for each day. Any additional fish/OAA and AP then needs to be estimated. If this is based on information of other FH members present during the interview, this may be added to the main species catch list, otherwise it is just an estimated total for additional fish, OAA and AP. Additional catch by other FH members (fish and OAA) may not come from the same habitat indicated for the main species catch and this can be indicated separately in the additional catch habitat box. We don't require species details for the additional fish/OAA, just the estimated weight by day.

If the FH indicates no fishing was done for a day, please indicate the reason (select from the available codes or indicate a different reason in the comments). If only OAA (and AP) was collected please indicate, by ticking the relevant box. This will be used in the database to separate records.

Six different gear types are pre-printed for each fishing day. Tick the ones that are reported as being used for each fishing day and add the codes for gear categories not included on the form, as indicated in annex 3 . The survey will only collect the gear type (category), not the detailed gear names. Also indicate if fishing was done using without a boat, a paddle boat or a motorised boat.

Don't forget to ask if the household caught any fish ready to spawn, which will be used to identify spawning seasons.

Only ask for disposal for the fish catch, ask for the weight that was sold, consumed by the household, processed and for other use (gifted, animal feed or discarded). If fish was sold, ask for the income received for each day. If the FH doesn't want to provide the income, that is fine, don't insist to get this information, the income can be estimated based on the reported fish price as well.

Calculate the total amount for each day to compare with the total reported fish catch.
The information on active fishing households is now based on data from the sample households, therefore it is important that all households are asked if they went fishing the previous month (which may not be clear from the reported catch data for the previous month, if this didn't include any fishing days). If the FH was fishing, ask for an estimate for the total number of fishing days.

Also make note in the Comments box, of any additional information provided by the respondent related to fishing and the fisheries in general, e.g. comparison of catches between years/habitats, gear use, migrations.

[^9]When using paper forms, ONLY USE water proof BALLPOINT, not pencil for filling out the forms, this way edits and corrections are clearly visible.

Note: Data collection will be done using paper forms, until tablets have been procured, after which all data collection will be done using electronic recording

## 7. Analysis plan and estimations

Estimates will be made at country level, as well as by fishery areas. Province level values will use redistribution of the fishery area estimates, based on the proportion of fishing households in each of the constituting provinces. This is expected to be statistically invalid for most provinces, but it will allow for tentative comparison of some indicators between provinces.

The main indicator for the fishery obtained from the HCl survey, total catch, will be estimated for the three main fishery areas, using
$\tilde{X}_{m} \quad=$ the median reported monthly fishing household catch (raised to the total number of calendar days in the month)
$N_{f} \quad=$ total number of fishing households in the fishery area
$F_{\mathrm{a}} \quad=$ proportion of active fishing households (from HFA survey)
Total estimated catch $=X_{m} * N_{\mathrm{f}} * F_{\mathrm{a}}$

The species or group of species catch is calculated based on the proportion of the catch by species.
The imputed ${ }^{22}$ value of the catch will be calculated based on median monthly recorded fish price (by species) for the entire estimated catch. This in addition to the reported household income, from fish trade. However, income may not be reported consistently or reliably, therefore this is only indicative.

Since the proportion of active fishing households is based on the activity pattern of fishing households included in the HCl survey, this will be available immediately after data collection is concluded. If data is entered immediately (either by data typist not waiting until all data is collected, or by recording the data on tablets in the field), the data will be available by the second week of the following month and the automated tentative reports can be produced, discussed and approved for publication by the end of the month. In case issues are discovered with the data, QA/QC, re-visits and adjustments of calculation procedures (to remove certain data from the analysis) may take some time. However, the interview may miss fishing activity for a household, as the recall period only covers a 5 -day period. Therefore, the fishing status for all fishing households will also be assessed for the previous month. This is expected to provide a better estimate for the number of active fishing households and this will only become available each following month. This means that final estimates are expected to be available with a maximum delay of 2 months, e.g. final estimates for December 2020 are expected to be published before the end of February 2021, but preliminary estimated totals will be made available for internal FIA/IFReDI use in January 2021.

Monthly catch reports by province, for feedback and publication on the IFReDI web-site will focus on a limited set of indicators that will be generated automatically by the database, in tables and where appropriate pie graphs:

1) estimated species catch (MT) at country level (including OAA and aquatic plants);
2) cumulative contribution to total catch, disaggregated by fishery area (and if possible, province);
3) average monthly household catch (with imputed and reported income);
4) average daily household catch (CPUE);
5) proportional contribution of reported catch by boat/gear and habitat; and

[^10]6) amount, contribution and (imputed) value by disposal type.

A pre-analysis plan (PAP) will include the method for calculating these indicators for database development. Variation (Standard deviation) and where appropriate standard error and confidence limits will be calculated. A proposal for the required analysis for the annual report will be included in a separate document, to be presented and evaluated 2 months before the due date for the annual report. A list of possible basic analysis from the data included in the proposed HCl is indicated in Annex 4. The PAP will be developed alongside the implementation and evaluation of the survey.

## 8. Implementation

Tasks are divided between FIAC and IFReDI as follows:
FIAC coordinates with commune and village authorities and assists with the HFA survey IFReDI implementing agency, responsible for design, training and supervision, HCl survey data collection as well as analysis/reporting

After agreement by the TWGFi, the following sequence will be followed:

## September:

- TWGFi presentation
- Preparation of detailed field manuals


## October:

- Final draft field manual for approval by TWGFi and DG
- Final sampling design (with FIAC consultation)
- Consultations and planning workshops with FAIC
- Developing database and data entry interface
- Translation of manual

November:

- Training of FIA/IFReDI field team (selected FIAC staff will be trained in the field) with field exercise as test of methodology for sample area
- Start of household selection interview survey in Tonle Sap (mid-November)
- Finalisation of methodologies and briefing of FIAC

December (onwards):

- Implementation of routine collection under supervision of IFReDI staff
- Monthly data reports, ongoing evaluation and where necessary adjustment of surveys

Random selection of fishing households will be time-consuming. Instead of trying to implement the catch assessment in all target fishery areas, the survey will be implemented in phases:
December 2020 coverage of Tonle Sap fishery area
January 2020 expansion to include Floodplain fishery area
February 2020 onwards expansion to include remaining fishery areas: Plateau, Mountainous and Coastal.

Full coverage for all fishery areas is expected by end of quarter 1, 2021.

Although data collection will attempt to use electronic data recording, this depends on budget disbursement and procurement of tablets for field use. Paper forms will be used until electronic data recording can be implemented, which will be centrally processed by IFReDI staff, for verification and data entry. The household selection interview survey for fishery dependence will only be done using paper survey forms, as selection of fishing households needs to start ASAP.

## 9. Validation and field inspections

A separate FIA/IFReDI QA/QC team is charged with field inspections and validation. This has three main components:

1. Observation of household field interviews (unannounced) to evaluate how FIA/IFReDI survey teams conduct the interviews;
2. Survey activity spot checks to check if field survey teams have visited villages and interviewed the selected fishing households as per schedule, as well as impressions, issues and comments that fishing households may have on how the field teams conduct the interviews; and
3. Validation surveys, where the HCl is repeated for the fishing households in random selected locations to verify the data collected by the field survey teams.

The QA/QC team will produce monthly reports with their findings, and provide 6-monthly evaluation reports of members of the FIA/IFReDI survey team members.

Error checking will be an integral part of the data collection and analysis. Since data is collected using a recall survey, it is necessary to depend on what people report. The main sources of expected error are for
reported catch weight (even when using visual aids), this tends to be unreliable, unless catch is sold two possibilities, without resorting to validation surveys:

- investigate the distribution of average catch weight, compared between the current survey and other fisheries surveys for fishers using the same gear types for the same catch periods; or
- Test the ability for fishing household members to accurately estimate fish weights by asking a number of households involved in the survey and some from the same village that are not involved, to estimate the weight of a number of freshly caught fish. This can be done as a fun activity for fishing household members to see who comes closest to the real weight, while at the same time getting an idea about the precision of the weight estimates and any impact on the precision from being involved in the HCl ; and,
Species mis-identifications, in those cases where only some households report catching certain species, or if the average weight by fish is an outlier, these respondents can be identified. Once unreliable sources of species catch are flagged, they can be left out from the species proportion calculations, while still retaining their reported total weights.

These and other approaches will be further developed by IFReDI with support from FAO. However, for a real assessment of the reliability of the survey data, a parallel validation survey is required, e.g. using a logbook. This will be proposed for implementation after 2021, alongside adjustments to the survey and sampling design.

It is standard practice to implement validation surveys for long-term surveys, for a sub-sample of the household sample with national coverage. Since validation surveys are labour intensive, it is advised to use spot checks for random selected sample locations ${ }^{23}$., this can be done in two ways, depending on suspected issues:

- If there are suspicions that data collectors don't follow the correct methodology, then the fishing households can simply be surveyed a second time, if possible, for the same period; or
- If the validation is required to assess the validity of the sample used for data collection, then the HCl survey is implemented for a second random selected group of households during the same month to compare data locally between households involved in the HCl survey and those that are not.

While spot checks if data is collected correctly by individual data collectors or survey teams should be done whenever issues are suspected (based on field observations and survey activity spot checks),

[^11]validation of the sample (and resulting estimates) only needs to be done every 3-5 years to ensure that shifts in livelihood patterns don't affect the validity of the data collected. It is recommended to implement this during the dry season and again for the flooding season. The validation survey will use a recall period with the same duration as the HCl survey, with all selected households within the same sampling/fishery area interviewed for the same 5-day period.
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11. Annexes

Annex 1. Household Catch Interview survey format


Fish Disposal (kg)
Sold


Did any adult member of your household fish during the previous month?

| Consumed |  |  |  |  |  |  | O Yes O No |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Processed |  |  |  |  |  |  | If Yes: How many days du | uring the previous |
| Other use |  |  |  |  |  |  | month? |  |
| Income (optional) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (disposal amount) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Formchecked and <br> without mistakes by | name and signature | Data recorded by | name and signature |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $. . . . . . . / . . . . . . . / 202 . . ~$ |


| Species name Code | Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 | Day 4 | Day 5 | Price |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Codes for reasons not fishing:

1 Bad weather; 2 Not enough fish; 3 Need to repair net/boat/engine; 4 Sick; 5 Work around house/agriculture/collect firewood; 6 visit family/friends attend ceremony or holiday; $\mathbf{7}$ Wage labour; 8 Attend meeting; 9 Other, please specify

## Codes for relationship to HH head:

$\mathbf{1}$ Household head; $\mathbf{2}$ Spouse; $\mathbf{3}$ child; $\mathbf{4}$ Other HH member; $\mathbf{5}$ Family member from separate HH ; $\mathbf{6}$ Neighbour; $\mathbf{7}$ Other; 8 Unknown or not entered

## Annex 2 Household Selection Interview Survey Form

1. Household Identification

| 1. Date \& Start time: | $/ /$ | $:$ | 2. Household Book <br> ID |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Province |  |  | 4. District |  |
| 5. Commune |  | 6. Village name |  |  |
|  |  | 7. Village code |  |  |
| 8. HH head name |  | 9. HH head gender | O Male O Female |  |
| 10. Respondent name |  | 11. Number of HH members |  |  |

## 2. Fishery Activities by Household

| 1 | Does any member of your household, fish and collect other aquatic animals at any time of the year? | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1.1 | If Yes, do you 2020-21? | 0 | 0 |
| 1.2 | If No, please explain why you don't want to get involved |  |  |
|  | Reason code |  |  |


| Form checked and <br> without mistakes by | name and signature | Data recorded by | name and signature |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |


| 2 | How many members of your household are involved in fishing? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | < 15 years |  | 15 years up |  |  |  |  | < 15 years |  |  | 15 years up |  |
| 2.1 | Male |  |  |  |  | 2.2 | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | How many times did your household fish per month in 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 1 | Fishing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Fishing days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Average fishing days per month in 2019 (calculate from 3.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Average daily catch during rainy season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Average daily catch during dry season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathbf{n y y y y}$ | Indicate if your households mainly fish and collect aquatic animals for |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{O}$ Selling | $\mathbf{O}$ Same importance | $\mathbf{O}$ Consumption |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Does your household process fish? | $\mathbf{O}$ Yes | $\mathbf{O}$ No |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | If Yes, what kind of processed products do you produce? |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Own or share a boat | $\mathbf{O}$ own | $\mathbf{O}$ share | $\mathbf{O}$ no boat |
| 1 | If sharing boat with how many households? |  |  |  |
| 2 | If owning a boat how many? |  |  |  |


| $\mathbf{8}$ | Own or have access to a boat engine |  |  | $\mathbf{O}$ own | $\mathbf{O}$ share | $\mathbf{O}$ no engine |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | If sharing engine with how many households? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | If owning an engine how many? |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Where do household members normally fish and what proportion of catch by habitat? |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Habitat | \% catch | days/month | HH <br> members |  |
| 01 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Mekong Mainstream |  |  |  |  |
| 02 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Major Tributaries |  |  |  |  |
| 03 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Tributaries to Tonle Sap |  |  |  |  |
| 04 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Stream |  |  |  |  |
| 05 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Sub-Stream |  |  |  |  |
| 06 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Irrigation canals |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Seasonal swamps |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Permanent swamps |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Floodplain: flooded forest |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Floodplain: rice fields |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Floodplain: lakes and ponds |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Reservoir |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | $\mathbf{O}$ | Marine habitats |  |  |  |  |

*This is included for coastal provinces to assess if the households also fish in marine/brackish water habitats
3. Fishing Gears Ownership?

| $\mathbf{1}$ | O Gillnet | Indicate the size, mesh width and number of units: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Length | Depth | Mesh width | \# units | Stationary | Drifting |
| 1.1 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ |  |
| 1.2 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ |  |
| 1.3 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ |
| 1.4 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ |
| 1.5 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ |  |


| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ Hook and line |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{O}$ | Hook long line | units | $\mathbf{O}$ | Hook and line | units |
|  | $\mathbf{O}$ | Pole and line | units | $\mathbf{O}$ | $\ldots$ | units |


| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ Small traps | Units | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ Large traps | Units |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | Snakehead wedge trap |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | Wedge cone trap |  |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | Drop door trap |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | Bamboo vertical cylinder trap |  |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | Vertical hanging vase trap |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | Horizontal cylinder trap |  |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | Horizontal cylinder trap |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |


| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ Lift net | Units |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ Cast net | Units |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ Seine |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ Others (please specify) |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ Others (please specify) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

4. Household Dependency on Fishing


| 6 | What livelihood activities is your household involved in? | Activity code | \% Time | \% Income |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## 5. Eligibility for HCl survey

| 1. How many years has HH <br> lived in village? | 2. Does the HH migrate seasonally away from <br> village for work? | O Yes O No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Activity Codes: | 5. Fish culture | 10. Transport service | 15. Money lending |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Rice Farming | 6. Fish processing | 11. Repair shop | 16. Handicraft |
| 2. CFI tasks | 7. Gear repair/making | 12. Hotel/restaurant | 17. school/college |
| 3. Fishing | 8. Daily Labour (agricult)* | 13. Petty trade/shop | 18. Housekeeping |
| 4. Livestock | 9. Government service | 14. Other business | 19. Vegetable farming |
|  |  |  | 20. Others |

* Daily labour refers to working in agriculture as an employee (for someone else)

Annex 3．Gear types

| Gear code | Gear name English | Gear name Khmer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Stationary gillnet | ยู้กั่น์ |
| 2 | Drifting gillnet | ยู้งโ์ถููดิ |
| 3 | Hook long line | ถิ¢ูููกT |
| 4 | Hook and line | ถิన్ูู |
| 5 | Pole and line |  |
| 6 | Snakehead wedge trap | นุบิ |
| 7 | Drop door trap | UTS |
| 8 | Vertical hanging vase trap | ดุ¢ |
| 9 | Horizontal cylinder trap | ถษ |
| 10 | Wedge cone trap | ใÜ゙ฟ |
| 11 | Bamboo vertical cylinder trap | โิ์ |
| 12 | Horizontal cylinder trap | ถั์ |
| 13 | Giant lift nets | ญูกกั่ |
| 14 | Lift nets | กิก |
| 15 | scoop baskets | ญู¢ |
| 16 | scoop nets | บั์ |
| 17 | Cast net | ถ๋ฒฺต่ |
| 18 | Giant cast nets | ธิฒฺตู่พิ์ |
| 19 | Hand capture |  |
| 20 | Spear | ญิ／ $\mathfrak{\text { ¢ }}$／ |
| 21 | Bow and guns |  |
| 22 | Bag nets | WU |
| 23 | Seine nets | ¢ ${ }_{\text {H }}$ |
| 24 | Push nets | ญึ์ |
| 25 | Pair trawl | ケููคケูถูกู |
| 26 | Pumping | บู์ |
| 27 | Others gears |  |

Annex 4. Proposed Basic analysis for catch and effort data obtained from HCl survey (including comparison between fishery areas).

| Indicators | Presentation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total reported OAA and AP by species ( Kg ) | Table |
| Total reported fish by species (Kg) | Table |
| OAA as proportion of total catch | Table |
| Plants as proportion of total catch (optional) | Table |
|  | Graph, time series |
|  | Comparison between periods |
| Proportion of fishing households reporting OAA | Table |
| Estimated OAA by main group/species | Table |
| Origin of OAA and aquatic plants by main habitat ${ }^{24}$ | Pie graph |
| Origin of reported fish catch by main habitat | Pie graph |
| Total reported species catch by main habitat and fishery area | Table |
| Contribution to the total reported fish catch by main habitat | Graph, Time series |
| Proportion of fishing households fishing with or w/o boats/engines | Table |
| Proportion of reported catch by boat type (no boat, paddle boat or motorised boat) compared between fishery areas/provinces | Table |
| Median daily catch, fishing days and total reported catch with or w/o boat, compared between fishery areas/provinces | Table |
| Proportion of Fishing Days (FD) and the median reported Daily Catch (DC) for fishing with or without boat | Graph, time series |
| Proportion of fishing days a specific gear type is used, expand to include sub-types, e.g. for gillnets and hook and line | Table |
| Fishing days by main gear types compared between fishery areas | Graph, time series |
| Median catch rates by fishing day for main fishing gears by fishery area (kg/HH/day) by month/season/year | Table or Graph |
| Proportion of fishing households fishing by fishery area/province | Graph, time series |
| Median monthly number of household fishing days over all habitats combined | Graph, time series |
| Median monthly household catch overall habitats | Graph, time series |
| Median number of fishing days by main habitat and fishing zone, by main season. | Table, comparison between years |
| Median daily fishing household catch by main habitat and overall by fishery area, expanded by daily catch by gear type | Graph, time series |

[^12]| Estimated total active fishing households (by fisher type if possible) | Table |
| :---: | :---: |
| Comparison of total estimated catch (fish and OAA) between fishery areas | Graph, time series |
| Redistributed total estimated catch by province | Pie graph by fishery area |
| Disposal of fish catch by fishery area | Table |
| overall disposal of fish catch by main category and sub categories for fish sold (by fishery area) | Pie graph |
| Median number of annual (total) household fishing days with all catch sold | Table |
| Trade value and total imputed value of fish and OAA by fishery area | Table |
| Proportion of OAA by disposal category for all fishing | Table |
| Species diversity and proportion of catch reported for species groups | Table |
| Top 30 of species reported by (estimated) total weight, with cumulative contribution to estimated total catch ${ }^{25}$ | Table |
| Contribution of OAA species groups to total reported catch | Pie graph |
| Reported mean monthly household income from HCl by fishery area | Table |
| Number of sample fishing households reporting income from fish trade | Graph, time series |
| Median reported household income from fish trade | Graph, time series |
| Contribution of plants and OAA to total OAO by fishery area | Table |
| Comparison between migratory and non-migratory species may be done after a full year of data is collected |  |
| Number of reports of fish caught ready to spawn by month | Graph |

[^13]Annex 5. Photo flipchart
The first 70 species represent $95 \%$ of the reported Cambodian catch in MRC catch data for 2017-2019, an additional 30 species were selected to represent upland and coastal fisheries, while OAA and Aquatic Plants were added based on perceived importance for Fishing Households consumption or income. FIA codes were added based on the available codes proposed a WB project and represented as FI007 (Fish Inland), as opposed to marine fish codes (FM). Additional codes may be linked in the database to facilitate data exchange. The included fish lengths were obtained from the MRC Mekong Fish Database and FishBase.

| MRC code | HCI code | Family name | Scientific name | English name | Khmer name | Commo n length (cm) | Max Length (cm) | Grouping | Fishing Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 151 | 1 | Ariidae | Netuma thalassinus | Giant seacatfish |  | 70 | 185 | Fish | Coastal |
| 1700 | 2 | Belonidae | Strongylura strongylura | spottail needlefish |  | 22 | 40 | Fish | Coastal |
| 1509 | 3 | Carangidae | Selaroides leptolepis | yellowstripe scad |  | 15 | 18 | Fish | Coastal |
| 1064 | 4 | Chanidae | Chanos chanos | Milkfish |  | 100 | 180 | Fish | Coastal |
| 1043 | 5 | Clupeidae | Anodontostoma chacunda | Chacunda gizzard shad |  | 14 | 18 | Fish | Coastal |
| 1036 | 6 | Clupeidae | Escualosa thoracata | white sardine |  | 8 | 10 | Fish | Coastal |
| 9 | 7 | Engraulidae | Lycothrissa crocodilus | Sabretooth thryssa |  | 20 | 30 | Fish | Coastal |
| 154 | 8 | Latidae | Lates calcarifer | Barramundi |  | 100 | 200 | Fish | Coastal |
| 1517 | 9 | Lutjanidae | Lutjanus russellii | Russell's snapper |  | 30 | 50 | Fish | Coastal |
| 1423 | 10 | Mugilidae | Chelon subviridis | Brown-backed Mullet |  | 25 | 40 | Fish | Coastal |
| 187 | 11 | Mugilidae | Ellochelon vaigiensis | squaretail mullet |  | 35 | 63 | Fish | Coastal |
| 186 | 12 | Mugilidae | Mugil cephalus | flathead grey mullet |  | 50 | 120 | Fish | Coastal |
| 157 | 13 | Plotosidae | Plotosus canius | Gray eel-catfish |  | 80 | 90 | Fish | Coastal |
| 155 | 14 | Scatophagidae | Scatophagus argus | Spotted scat |  | 20 | 30 | Fish | Coastal |
| 1793 | 15 | Scombridae | Rastrelliger brachysoma | Short mackerel |  | 20 | 35 | Fish | Coastal |
| 1499 | 16 | Serranidae | Epinephelus coioides | Orange-spotted grouper |  |  | 100 | Fish | Coastal |
| 1502 | 17 | Sillaginidae | Sillago sihama | Northern whiting |  | 20 | 30 | Fish | Coastal |
| 123 | 18 | Anabantidae | Anabas testudineus | Climbing perches |  | 15 | 23 | Fish | Lowlands |


| 1329 | 19 | Bagridae | Bagrichthys macracanthus | Black lancer catfish |  | 25 | Fish | Lowlands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | 20 | Bagridae | Bagrichthys obscurus | False black lancer |  | 30 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 1330 | 21 | Bagridae | Hemibagrus filamentus |  | 50 | 60 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 1777 | 22 | Bagridae | Hemibagrus sp. |  | 30-100 | 120 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 84 | 23 | Bagridae | Hemibagrus spilopterus | Asian Redtail catfish |  | 65 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 87 | 24 | Bagridae | Hemibagrus wyckioides | Redtail catfish | 50 | 120 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 83 | 25 | Bagridae | Pseudomystus siamensis | Bumblebee catfish |  | 20 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 183 | 26 | Channidae | Channa marulioides | Emperor snakehead | 30 | 49 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 129 | 27 | Channidae | Channa micropeltes | Giant snakehead | 80 | 100 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 128 | 28 | Channidae | Channa striata | Striped snakehead | 45 | 90 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 116 | 29 | Clariidae | Clarias batrachus | Walking catfish | 25 | 40 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 26 | 30 | Cyprinidae | Amblyrhynchichthys truncatus |  | 30 | 40 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 40 | 31 | Cyprinidae | Barbonymus altus | Red tailed tinfoil | 15 | 20 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 39 | 32 | Cyprinidae | Barbonymus gonionotus | Java barb | 35 | 90 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 38 | 33 | Cyprinidae | Barbonymus schwanenfeldii | Goldfoil barb | 25 | 35 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 61 | 34 | Cyprinidae | Cirrhinus jullieni |  | 15 | 20 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 59 | 35 | Cyprinidae | Cirrhinus microlepis | smallscale mud carp | 25 | 65 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 60 | 36 | Cyprinidae | Cirrhinus molitorella | Mud carp | 35 | 50 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 27 | 37 | Cyprinidae | Cosmochilus harmandi | Green giant barb | 30 | 100 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 1139 | 38 | Cyprinidae | Cyclocheilichthys apogon | Beardless barb | 15 | 18 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 29 | 39 | Cyprinidae | Cyclocheilichthys enoplos |  | 45 | 74 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 30 | 40 | Cyprinidae | Cyclocheilichthys furcatus | Mekong giant barb | 40 | 60 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 1142 | 41 | Cyprinidae | Cyclocheilichthys lagleri |  |  | 15 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 31 | 42 | Cyprinidae | Cyclocheilichthys repasson | White eye barb | 16 | 23 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 50 | 43 | Cyprinidae | Hampala dispar | Spotted hampala barb | 30 | 35 | Fish | Lowlands |


| 51 | 44 | Cyprinidae | Hampala macrolepidota | Tranverse-bar barb |  | 35 | 70 | Fish | Lowlands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | 45 | Cyprinidae | Henicorhynchus lobatus | Siamese mud carp |  |  | 15 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 63 | 46 | Cyprinidae | Henicorhynchus siamensis | Siamese mud carp |  |  | 20 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 45 | 47 | Cyprinidae | Hypsibarbus lagleri |  |  | 35 | 40 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 44 | 48 | Cyprinidae | Hypsibarbus malcolmi | Goldfin tinfoil barb |  | 40 | 50 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 1158 | 49 | Cyprinidae | Hypsibarbus suvattii |  |  |  | 35 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 149 | 50 | Cyprinidae | Hypsibarbus wetmorei | Golden barb |  | 25 | 50 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 58 | 51 | Cyprinidae | Labeo chrysophekadion | Sailfin shark carp |  | 40 | 90 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 57 | 52 | Cyprinidae | Labeo dyocheilus |  |  | 45 | 50 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 163 | 53 | Cyprinidae | Labiobarbus siamensis |  |  | 16 | 22 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 18 | 54 | Cyprinidae | Leptobarbus hoevenii | Hoven's carp |  | 50 | 100 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 66 | 55 | Cyprinidae | Osteochilus hasseltii | Nilem carp |  |  | 30 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 68 | 56 | Cyprinidae | Osteochilus melanopleura |  |  |  | 40 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 69 | 57 | Cyprinidae | Osteochilus schlegeli | Giant sharkminnow |  | 30 | 40 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 11 | 58 | Cyprinidae | Paralaubuca typus | Asiatic minnow |  |  | 18 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 23 | 59 | Cyprinidae | Probarbus jullieni | Isok barb |  | 28 | 165 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 35 | 60 | Cyprinidae | Puntioplites falcifer |  |  |  | 35 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 34 | 61 | Cyprinidae | Puntioplites proctozysron | Smith's Barb |  |  | 30 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 42 | 62 | Cyprinidae | Puntius orphoides | Javaen barb |  | 10 | 25 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 10 | 63 | Cyprinidae | Raiamas guttatus | Burmese Trout |  |  | 30 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 48 | 64 | Cyprinidae | Scaphognathops bandanensis | Bandan sharp-mouth Barb |  |  | 20 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 49 | 65 | Cyprinidae | Scaphognathops stejnegeri |  |  |  | 25 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 133 | 66 | Datnioididae | Datnioides undecimradiatus | Mekong tiger perch |  | 25 | 40 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 131 | 67 | Eleotridae | Oxyeleotris marmorata | Marble goby |  | 30 | 50 | Fish | Lowlands |
| 118 | 68 | Mastacembelidae | Mastacembelus armatus | Tiretrack spiny eel |  |  | 80 | Fish | Lowlands |



| 1200 | 94 | Cyprinidae | Lobocheilos rhabdoura |  | 10 | 22 | Fish | Uplands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1129 | 95 | Cyprinidae | Neolissochilus stracheyi |  | 45 | 80 | Fish | Uplands |
| 67 | 96 | Cyprinidae | Osteochilus lini | Dusky face carp |  | 15 | Fish | Uplands |
| 22 | 97 | Cyprinidae | Tor sinensis | Chinese mahseer | 35 | 47 | Fish | Uplands |
| 21 | 98 | Cyprinidae | Tor tambroides | Thai mahseer | 50 | 80 | Fish | Uplands |
|  | 99 | Cichlidae | Tilapia sp. | Tilapia | 15-40 | 45 | Fish |  |
|  | 100 |  | Mixed small/juvenile fish |  |  |  | Fish |  |
|  | 101 |  | Other fish nei |  |  |  | Fish |  |
|  | 102 | Belostomatidae | Lethocerus indicus | Giant water bug | 7 |  | Aquatic insects |  |
| 950 | 103 |  | Aquatic insects nei |  |  |  | Aquatic insects |  |
| 1818 | 104 | Araceae | Colocasia esculenta | Taro |  |  | Aquatic plants |  |
|  | 105 | Ipomoea | Ipomoea aquatica | Water spinach |  |  | Aquatic plants |  |
|  | 106 | Lythraceae | Trapa sp. | water chesnut |  |  | Aquatic plants |  |
|  | 107 | Nymphaea | Nymphaea nouchali | blue lotus |  |  | Aquatic plants |  |
|  | 108 | Nymphaea | Nymphaea pubescens | pink water-lily |  |  | Aquatic plants |  |
|  | 109 |  | Aquatic plants nei |  |  |  | Aquatic plants |  |
| 3017 | 110 | Unionidae | Corbicula leviuscula |  | 4 |  | Bivalves |  |
|  | 111 | Unionidae | Corbicula moreletiana |  |  |  | Bivalves |  |
| 1770 | 112 | Unionidae | Corbicula sp. |  | 3 |  | Bivalves |  |
| 3018 | 113 | Unionidae | Corbicula tenuis |  | 2 |  | Bivalves |  |
| 850 | 114 |  | Freshwater bivalves nei |  |  |  | Bivalves |  |


|  | 115 |  | Marine bivalves nei |  |  | Bivalves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 900 | 116 | Gecarcinucidae | Somanniathelphusa sp. |  |  | Crabs |
| 3005 | 117 | Parathelphusidae | Somanniathelpusa brandti | Brandt's rice crab |  | Crabs |
| 1779 | 118 | Portunidae | Scylla serrata | Mud crab | 20 | Crabs |
|  | 119 |  | Freshwater crabs nei |  |  | Crabs |
|  | 120 |  | Marine crabs nei |  |  | Crabs |
| 3024 | 121 | Bufonidae | Bufo sp. |  |  | Frogs and toads |
|  | 122 | Microhylidae | Glyphoglossus molossus | Truncate-snouted bullfrog | 4 | Frogs and toads |
|  | 123 | Ranidae | Fejervarya limnocharis | Paddy frog | 5 | Frogs and toads |
|  | 124 | Ranidae | Hoplobatrachus rugulosus | Rugulose bullfrog | 12 | Frogs and toads |
| 600 | 125 |  | Adult frogs and toads nei |  |  | Frogs and toads |
| 650 | 126 |  | Tadpoles |  |  | Frogs and toads |
| 700 | 127 |  | Aquatic/semiaquatic reptiles nei |  |  | Reptiles |
| 701 | 128 |  | Turtles |  |  | Reptiles |
| 910 | 129 | Palaemonidae and Atyidae | Small mixed shrimps |  |  | Shrimps and prawns |


| 192 | 130 | Palaeomonidae | Macrobrachium sp. |  |  |  | Shrimps and prawns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1767 | 131 | Penaeidae | Marine shrimps and prawns nei |  |  |  | Shrimps and prawns |
|  | 132 |  | Freshwater shrimps and prawns nei |  |  |  | Shrimps and prawns |
| 3006 | 133 | Ampullariidae | Pila gracilis |  |  | 5 | Snails |
|  | 134 | Ampullariidae | Pila pesmei |  |  | 4 | Snails |
| 3010 | 135 | Ampullariidae | Pila virescens | Sharp-tail snail |  | 6 | Snails |
| 3013 | 136 | Ampullariidae | Pomacea canaliculata | Golden apple snail |  | 10 | Snails |
| 3004 | 137 | Viviparidae | Filopaludina sp. |  |  |  | Snails |
|  | 138 | Viviparoidea | Mekongia rattei |  |  | 4 | Snails |
| 800 | 139 |  | Snails nei |  |  |  | Snails |
|  | 140 |  | Other aquatic animals nei |  |  |  |  |

Annex 6. OAA types and species groups

| OAA code | OAA_TypeEng | OAA_TypeKhmer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OA01 | Aquatic plants | ว่รี่โิกนึกิ |
| OA02 | Aquatic insects | กรรีกถู่บิิก |
| OA03 | Bivalves | โถ勺ู |
| OA04 | Crabs | กิ่ |
| OA05 | Frog and toads |  |
| OA06 | Aquatic reptiles | กรีรีญูง |
| OA07 | Shrimps and prawns |  |
| OA08 | Snails | 2j第 |
| OA09 | Other (specify) |  |

When Khmer names are recorded, these can often be used to assign these common names to scientific family names, if species are reported that are not part of the existing list (annex 5), they can be assessed if they proof to be important in terms of quantities reported

Annex 7．Random selected Communes for HCl survey
Tonle Sap

| ProvinceEng | ProvinceKhm | DistrictEng | DistrictKhm | CommuneCode | CommuneEng | CommuneKhm | VillageCount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Banteay Meanchey |  | Mongkol Borei |  | 010205 | Koy Maeng |  | 8 |
| Banteay Meanchey | USูWも゙SWัّU | Phnum Srok | กักโฺกฺก๊ | 010306 | Phnum Dei | กั่นับ | 9 |
| Banteay Meanchey | USูWもS Wัせ | Thma Puok | บูู ¢ ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 010704 | Thma Puok | บู่ ¢ูก | 7 |
| Battambang |  | Aek Phnum | ฟกักุ | 020504 | Preaek Luong | โ¢กัก | 7 |
| Battambang | บิถับั้บ้้ | Battambang |  | 020301 | Tuol Ta Ek | ¢ููดถิฟก | 5 |
| Battambang |  | Samlout | ถ๋ําููก | 020907 | Ta Sanh | ถิถูําู1 | 7 |
| Battambang |  | Sangkae | ถิโึ్้入 | 020809 | Ou Dambang Pir |  | 6 |
| Kampong Chhnang |  | Kampong Leaeng |  | 040402 | Dar | Wう | 5 |
| Kampong Thom | กัไどผัผ | Kampong Svay | กั๋ฟ์ญูู | 060204 | Kampong Svay | กัไฟ่ญูู | 12 |
| Kampong Thom |  | Santuk | ถิన్ఱก | 060707 | Prasat | โบ｜ | 10 |
| Kampong Thom |  | Stoung | โิ์ููฟ | 060805 | Kampong Chen Tboung |  | 7 |
| Kampong Thom |  | Stoung | โิ์ูึ | 060810 | Preah Damrei | โ¢ \％บั่ | 9 |
| Kampong Thom |  | Stueng Saen |  | 060306 | Kampong Krabau | กัไฟ่กกัโบิ | 3 |
| Kampong Thom |  | Stueng Saen |  | 060309 | Achar Leak | โาบกรญูกั่ | 3 |
| Pursat |  | Bakan | บิกิธ | 150103 | Khnar Totueng | 2119 Gitu | 10 |
| Pursat | โฺพิ์ญิ์ | Krakor | กกิก | 150307 | Kbal Trach | กูกฺโลิบ | 11 |
| Pursat | โัพิ์ญิ์ | Krakor | กกิก | 150309 | Sna Ansa | ญู｜fనู | 10 |
| Siemreap | โญู้ษูบ | Banteay Srei | Uన్ู1Uโกี | 170301 | Khnar Sanday | 己ูโญ์円ูM | 6 |
| Siemreap | โิ์ููยูบ | Siem Reap | เญิ์ษูง | 171005 | Nokor Thum |  | 6 |
| Siemreap | โั่งยูบ | Srei Snam | โิ์ | 171201 | Chrouy Neang Nguon | โโ入入 | 7 |

Floodplain

| ProvinceEng | ProvinceKhm | DistrictEng | DistrictKhm | CommuneCode | CommuneEng | CommuneKhm | VillageCount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kampong Cham | กัตฟ์บ์ษ | Kampong Siem | กัตฟั้โญู่ | 030602 | Hanchey | บร่พั้แ | 4 |
| Kampong Cham | กัตได่บบ | Kampong Siem |  | 030605 | Kaoh Mitt | โกาะษิกู | 9 |
| Kampong Cham | กั๐ไล่บฺษ | Kang Meas | กั้ษ์ญ์ | 030703 | Khchau | โֻ¢ | 10 |
| Kampong Cham | กัตไู่บ์ | Prey Chhor | 込ญึ | 031309 | Prey Chhor | 込ญึ | 4 |
| Kandal | ก̃ณูบญ์ | Kandal Stueng |  | 080118 | Roleang Kaen |  | 11 |
| Kandal | กิณ్ตญ์ | Khsach Kandal | 2ูบั่กผูญ | 080301 | Bak Dav | บิกั่ผึ | 4 |
| Kandal | กิณูตญ์ | Leuk Daek | ถชีกัโนัก | 080503 | Khpob Ateav | 2్లบสาษึ | 3 |
| Kandal | กัณ్ตญง | Lvea Aem | ญููษ | 080606 | Lvea Sar | ญูむ | 3 |
| Kandal | ก̃ณ్ฺญช | Ponhea Lueu | ต¢゙すb | 080910 | Preaek Ta Teaen | ｜โ์กกสําร์ | 3 |
| Kandal | กณููญ | S＇ang | ญิ่ | 081010 | S＇ang Phnum | ญู่ 幺ูก | 9 |
| Prey Veng |  | Kamchay Mear | กับแยู | 140203 | Kranhung | กกֹูู | 19 |
| Prey Veng |  | Kampong Trabaek | กัตฟัโดักบก | 140310 | Pratheat | โบพากุ | 9 |
| Prey Veng |  | Me Sang | โิธูู้ | 140504 | Prey Khnes | โโใ Tư | 22 |
| Prey Veng |  | Pea Reang | กทั้ล | 140803 | Kampong Prang | กัตม่าบั่ฟ | 6 |
| Svay Rieng |  | Bavet | บง่อก | 200803 | Chrak Mtes | บบกิกษูู | 15 |
| Svay Rieng | ญู่ | Svay Chrum |  | 200503 | Chambak | บิบกั่ | 7 |
| Takeo | ลิเก๋ก | Bati | ¢4 | 210202 | Champei | บิที่ | 7 |
| Takeo | ถิเกํกว | Borei Cholsar | บูกี้ญูฐา | 210304 | Kampong Krasang |  | 5 |
| Takeo | ลิเก๋กิ์ | Treang | ¢รำ | 211004 | Khvav | 21／ | 11 |
| Takeo | ลิเก๋กว่ | Treang | ¢゙き | 211014 | Tralach | กั¢ููู | 10 |

Plateau

| ProvinceEng | ProvinceKhm | DistrictEng | DistrictKhm | CommuneCode | CommuneEng | CommuneKhm | VillageCount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kratie | กกิกบ์ | Chetr Borei | บิโกิ์ุ์ | 100604 | Kantuot | กิกููก | 5 |
| Kratie | กกิบบ์ | Chhloung | Wู้ํ | 100102 | Damrei Phong |  | 9 |
| Kratie | กกิบบ์ | Prek Prasab |  | 100302 | Chrouy Banteay | โนึuUN్M | 7 |
| Kratie | กกิบบ์ | Sambour | กัํูู | 100404 | Kaoh Khnhaer | เกาะโอ | 5 |
| Ratanak Kiri | รกิลคิรี | Ou Chum | คูรบ | 160602 | Pouy | ర゙ูせ | 8 |

## Moutainous

| ProvinceEng | ProvinceKhm | DistrictEng | DistrictKhm | CommuneCode | CommuneEng | CommuneKhm | VillageCount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kampong Speu | กัตฟ์ญื่ | Basedth | บโโถ์น్ద | 050101 | Basedth | บงTธ์H్ద | 22 |
| Kampong Speu | กัตฟ่ญู้ | Basedth | บโโถิน్ู | 050106 | Phong | โุ้น | 13 |
| Kampong Speu | กัตไิ์ | Chbar Mon | OTHES | 050204 | Sopoar Tep | ญิตักโ¢ ¢ | 10 |
| Kampong Speu | กัตไิ่ | Kong Pisei | กิทิไิิ์ | 050301 | Angk Popel | お叁ตโ円ญ | 13 |
| Oddar Meanchey |  | Banteay Ampil | บన్రుร์ติญ | 220202 | Beng | กบ้ | 23 |
| Pailin | ใั้ถิง | Pailin | ใิ้ถิง | 240103 | Tuol Lvea | ¢్ర 囚ฺญ゙ | 11 |
| Preah Vihear | ［6\％ | Chey Saen | W้แกัญ์ | 130103 | Khyang | 2ј） | 3 |
| Preah Vihear | ［6\％¢ | Chhaeb | โิต่บ | 130207 | Kampong Sralau Muoy |  | 5 |
| Preah Vihear | ［6\％ | Choam Ksant | బึกัก｜ | 130305 | Yeang | せTำ | 6 |
| Tboung Khmum |  | Ponhea Kraek | ตตmicก̃ | 250501 | Dountei | ผููลถี | 21 |
| Tboung Khmum | กููท | Tboung Khmum | กููู | 250701 | Anhchaeum | คโฑ゙ษ | 22 |

## Coastal

| ProvinceEng | ProvinceKhm | DistrictEng | DistrictKhm | CommuneCode | CommuneEng | CommuneKhm | VillageCount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kampot | กัตดั | Banteay Meas | USููだญ์ | 070206 | Sdach Kong Khang Cheung |  | 4 |
| Kampot | กัตดั | Chum Kiri | ผุ่คิกี | 070403 | Snay Anhchit |  | 5 |
| Kampot | กัตต๊ | Kampong Trach |  | 070609 | Ang Sophy |  | 6 |
| Preah Sihanouk | โ็ะญี่กనุ | Kampong Seila | กัตไิ่ ญีலู | 180403 | Ou Bak Roteh | คู่บกั่งโ\% | 3 |

Annex 8. Map with distribution of all pre-selected communes


Annex 9. Aquatic habitats

|  | English name | Khmer name | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lotic |  |  |  |
| 01 | Mekong Mainstream |  |  |
| 02 | Major Tributaries |  | 3S, Bassac River and Tonle Sap river |
| 03 | Tributaries to Tonle Sap |  | River around Tonle Sap lake |
| 04 | Stream |  | Steung |
| 05 | Sub-Stream |  | Ou |
| 06 | Irrigation canals |  |  |
| Lentic |  |  |  |
| 11 | Seasonal swamps |  |  |
| 12 | Permanent swamps |  |  |
| 13 | Floodplain: flooded forest |  |  |
| 14 | Floodplain: rice fields |  |  |
| 15 | Floodplain: lakes and ponds |  |  |
| 16 | Reservoir |  |  |




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Catch disposal describes the use of the catch for trade, fresh consumption, processing for delayed consumption or trade and other uses (e.g. animal feed, fertilizer, bait)
    ${ }^{2}$ Using a 5-day recall period is less reliable than a 3-day recall period, but a longer recall period makes more sense if most respondents are not fishing regularly, to ensure that the recall period includes fishing activities by a larger proportion of households

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Sampling bias will be measured to assess if this is an issue and the survey approach adjusted accordingly

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Especially when the catch includes a mix of small and juvenile fish it will be impossible to get accurate identification, in addition use of non-standard local fish names may refer to groups of species, especially for fish with low economic importance
    ${ }^{5}$ Common Khmer names may be linked to multiple local Khmer names, that depend on ethnic and language differences between areas, although they may be the same for most of the main species in the fisheries
    ${ }^{6}$ For example: Cyclocheilichthys armatus, has FIA code F18 and MRC code 1140 (and MFD code 231), both FIA and MRC codes will be included and linked in the database

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ A recent survey in a tributary to the Mekong showed an average daily catch of 2.72 kg with a variation of 2.82 .
    ${ }^{8}$ See: FAO CAPFISH Cambodia Inland Fisheries Catch Assessment Guidelines
    ${ }^{9}$ This is an approximation, as the real recommended sample size depends on the relation of n and $\mathrm{tn}-1$ which are interdependent, tn-1 tends to be smaller than 2.1

[^4]:    ${ }^{10}$ Adjusting the sample size or even redistribution of the sampling effort by fishery area are only expected to affect accuracy, not precision, as long as the selection of fishing households is random, therefore this will not affect analysis or comparison between areas and months

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ Depending on the objective for catch assessment, a better stratification for a country level estimate for the total catch, would be to separately sample areas within each fishery areas with low and high fishery dependence, relating to their relative access to productive fishing locations and village economy. If separate estimates are required for each province, much higher sample sizes are needed
    ${ }^{12}$ This seems based on administrative (provincial) boundaries only, without a clear link to the relative importance of fishery activities, for income and food security, and prevalence of highly productive aquatic habitats, e.g. parts of Tonle Sap fishery area may have more in common with Mountainous areas with which some communes border.
    ${ }^{13}$ This doesn't mean that random selection is abandoned, merely to assess if by chance the selected communes select a bias for low or highly productive locations that could skew the data. If necessary, the entire commune sample can be resampled, not for individual communes.

[^6]:    14 If exact numbers of fishing households with full-time (commercial/professional) fishers, part-time fishers and seasonal fishers can be estimated, then catches for these groups from the available data can be used for separate estimates, or even by implementing a stratification based on fisher type to increase accuracy
    ${ }^{15}$ Similar to what is done in the recent SES
    ${ }^{16}$ The 2017 socio-economic survey indicates that a higher proportion of households from Plateau and mountainous fishery areas are fishing than in Tonle Sap and Floodplain fishery areas

[^7]:    ${ }^{17}$ NIS uses a list of 28,000 Enumeration Areas (EA) covering the entire country

[^8]:    ${ }^{18}$ Experience elsewhere indicates that long-term attrition with recall surveys is relatively low, as unlike with logbooks, it requires little effort, but often can be quite high during the initial period.
    ${ }^{19}$ As mentioned before not all 100 households will be interviewed for the HSI survey, only until 15 fishing households are selected.

[^9]:    ${ }^{20}$ Fish price for a species may depend on size and for some species if the fish is alive or dead
    ${ }^{21}$ This term refers to fish utilisation, by main category: trade, consumption, processing and other uses. It should not be confused with fish discards

[^10]:    ${ }^{22}$ Since this will include fish that hasn't been traded, representing a proxy for the 'replacement' value for a household, if they wouldn't be able to obtain the fish consumed though their own fishing activities

[^11]:    ${ }^{23}$ This normally is done with the same methodology, but if budget is available within FIA other methods can be considered, e.g. consumption survey or comparison with a SES

[^12]:    ${ }^{24}$ It is expected that most of the fishing activities will be conducted in several habitats on the same day, with the catch not separated by habitat, species catch by habitat then depends on fishing trips targeting single habitat/day, possibly combining detailed habitats in more generic habitat types: Mainstream, tributaries and streams and wetlands.

[^13]:    ${ }^{25}$ Note that this can be expanded, but this is intended for statistical reporting, not for scientific analysis. Full species lists are available directly from the database, but it is best to stick to a limited number of species that represent at least $80 \%$ of the catch (whatever number of species that is), with the remainder reported as 'Other species'.

